2 GIVAL

LODGED

AUG 1 5 2003





UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - FRESNO

CENTRAL VALLEY CHRYSLERPLYMOUTH, INC., DAIMLERCHRYSLER
CORP., FRONTIER DODGE, INC., GENERAL
MOTORS CORP., HALLOWELL
CHEVROLET COMPANY, INC., KELLER
MOTORS, INC., KITAHARA PONTIACGMC-BUICK, INC., SURROZ MOTORS, INC.,
and TOM FIELDS MOTORS, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CATHERINE WITHERSPOON, in her official
capacity as Executive Officer of the California
Air Resources Board,

Defendant.

Case No. CIV F-02-05017 REC SMS

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Hearing Date:
Hearing Time:
Courtroom:
One

Honorable Robert E. Coyle

27 28

Plaintiffs CENTRAL VALLEY CHRYSLER-PLYMOUTH, INC., DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORP., FRONTIER DODGE, INC., GENERAL MOTORS CORP., HALLOWELL CHEVROLET COMPANY, INC., KELLER MOTORS, INC., KITAHARA PONTIAC-GMC-BUICK, INC., SURROZ MOTORS, INC., AND TOM FIELDS MOTORS, INC., and defendant CATHERINE WITHERSPOON, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD, do hereby stipulate for an order modifying the Court's June 11, 2002, Order Granting a Preliminary Injunction, as follows:

- 1. This case was commenced on January 3, 2002. The Complaint challenged the legality of the Final Regulation Order adopted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on December 7, 2001, amending the Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) regulations on the ground that the amended regulations violate the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution. The amended regulations have been referred to as the "2001 ZEV Amendments" or the "2001 ZEV regulation" in this litigation.
- 2. On June 11, 2002, the Court preliminarily enjoined the defendant, the Executive Officer of CARB, "from enforcing the 2001 ZEV Amendments with respect to the sale of new motor vehicles in the 2003 or 2004 model years pending final resolution of this litigation." Order at 16. The Court's preliminary injunction order was appealed, and pending a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the Court and the parties have not proceeded with the case. The Ninth Circuit heard oral argument on February 13, 2003
- 3. On April 24, 2003, CARB adopted Resolution 03-04, initiating steps towards final adoption of 2003 amendments to the 2001 ZEV regulation. The CARB staff is charged with implementing Resolution 03-04 by way of completing the pending notice-and-comment rulemaking pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act.
- 4. In response to Resolution 03-04, on April 28, 2003, the Ninth Circuit *sua sponte* withdrew the appeal in this case from submission and requested that the parties file supplemental briefs to address "the impact on this case of the changes to the Zero Emission Vehicle policy adopted by the California Air Resources Board on April 24, 2003." No supplemental briefs have been filed as the parties have requested extensions from the Ninth Circuit, which that Court has granted.

- 5. At present, the 2001 ZEV regulation has not yet been repealed or replaced by any new regulation. The 2001 ZEV regulation contains regulatory requirements applicable to vehicles manufactured in Model Year 2005. This Court's June 11, 2002 Order does not apply to regulatory requirements for any model year after 2004.
- 6. The 2005 model year with its corresponding regulatory requirements will begin in January 2004, and for purposes of planning and development by plaintiffs, will begin earlier than that.
- 7. CARB is in the process of promulgating a new regulation that will amend the 2001 ZEV regulation, but until that new regulation is effective, plaintiffs are faced with compliance with the 2001 ZEV Amendments for model year 2005. Without defendant waiving any of the grounds asserted in defendant's appeal of this Court's June 11, 2002 Order, and without defendant waiving any other basis that she may have for objecting to the issuance of a preliminary injunction in this case, the parties agree that the findings upon which the Court based its decision to enjoin defendant as to Model Years 2003 and 2004 apply to Model Year 2005 as well.

Based upon the foregoing, the parties jointly request an order modifying the Court's June 11, 2002 Order to include model year 2005, such that defendant is enjoined from enforcing the 2001 ZEV Amendments with respect to the sale of new motor vehicles in the 2003, 2004, or 2005 model years pending final resolution of this litigation.

By:

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Respectfully submitted,

SAGASER, FRANSON & JONES

KIRKLAND & ELLIS

DATED: August 13 2003

PIMOPHY JONES
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CENTRAL VALLEY CHRYSLER-PLYMOUTH, INC., DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORP., FRONTIER DODGE, INC., GENERAL MOTORS CORP., HALLOWELL CHEVROLET COMPANY, INC., KELLER MOTORS, INC., KITAHARA PONTIAC-GMC-BUICK, INC., SURROZ MOTORS, INC., AND TOM FIELDS MOTORS, INC.

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DATED: August <u>12</u>, 2003

By:

ANITA E. RUUD
GAVIN G. McCABE

Attorneys for Defendant

CATHERINE WITHERSPOON, in her official capacity as Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board

[PROPOSED] ORDER

THE PARTIES HAVING STIPULATED THAT THE FINDINGS UPON WHICH THE COURT BASED ITS DECISION TO ENJOIN DEFENDANT AS TO THE 2003 AND 2004 MODEL YEARS APPLY TO THE 2005 MODEL YEAR AS WELL, AS SET FORTH IN THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this Court's Order Granting a Preliminary Injunction in this matter is modified to include the 2005 model year. The defendant is enjoined from enforcing the 2001 ZEV Amendments with respect to the sale of new motor vehicles in the 2003, 2004, or 2005 model years pending final resolution of this litigation.

Dated: [[49/1, 2003

ROBERT E. COYLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:02-cv-05017-REC-SMS Document 161 Filed 08/18/03 Page 6.of 7

United States District Court for the Eastern District of California August 19, 2003

* * CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE * *

1:02-cv-05017

Central

v.

California Air

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California.

That on August 19, 2003, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office, or, pursuant to prior authorization by counsel, via facsimile.

Timothy Jones
Sagaser Franson and Jones
PO Box 1632
2445 Capitol Street
Second Floor
Fresno, CA 93717-1632

Stuart A C Drake PRO HAC VICE Kirkland and Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005

Granta Y Nakayama PRO HAC VICE Kirkland and Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005

Andrew B Clubok PRO HAC VICE Kirkland and Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 REC SMS

Waschisg 1.902.cv 2650 272 PCEC-SMS Document 161 Filed 08/18/03 Page 7 of 7

John Gibson Mullan PRO HAC VICE Kirkland and Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005

Gregory F Corbett Kirkland and Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005

Gavin Geraghty McCabe California Attorney General's Office 455 Golden Gate Avenue Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004

Mark William Poole Attorney General's Office of the State of California 110 West A Steet Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101-3702

Marc N Melnick Attorney General's Office of the State of California PO Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Peter E Seley PRO HAC VICE Gibson Dunn and Crutcher 1050 Connecticut Avenue Northwest Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036-5303

Raymond B Ludwiszewski PRO HAC VICE Gibson Dunn and Crutcher 1050 Connecticut Avenue Northwest Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036-5303

Jack L. Wagner, Clerk

V. AVELLE